G. R. S. IX-5]

*Previous references.*

Sanitary 21-21 (1+2)

**1922.**

(Sanitary)

**File No.** R 21-21

**Serial Nos.** ~~3 4~~ 3

*Later references.*

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

P9
E

*Serial Nos.* ~~two~~ one only

**Part B for** February 1922

---

SUBJECT.

Relative official position of a Sanitary Inspector to the District Sanitary Officer.

---

Papers filed

W K Jarvis
7/3/22

WD 1888—GPB—16,826—23-7-21

Sans 21-21-3

**Department of Public Health in Mysore.**

OFFICE OF THE SANITARY COMMISSIONER
WITH THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,

*Bangalore, dated the* 30th December *192*1

No. C4000-2
Enc. one

REVENUE & JUDICIAL SECRETARIAT
L 3223
6 DEC 1921

*From*

A. K. PANI, ESQ., D.P.H., etc.,
*Offg. Sanitary Commissioner with the Government of*
*H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore, BANGALORE.*

*To*

The Secretary to the
Government of His Highness the
Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department,
BANGALORE.

*Sir,*

Adverting to Government Order No. L. 2000-8 Sany. 21-21-2 dated the 29th September 1921, I have the honor to submit herewith copy of letter No. C. 6 dated the 13th Ultimo, from the District Medical and Sanitary Officer, Mysore District, on the subject of the relative official position of a Sanitary Inspector to the District Sanitary Officer. It will be seen that the District Sanitary Officer also feels that his instructions to local Sanitary Inspectors never assume a practical shape and are wasted in consequence of the frequent transfers of the men.

2. The recommendation made in my letter No. C.170 dated the 15th August 1921, has not been accepted by Government for the reason that the powers of the President cannot be curtailed. A kind reference to my letter will show that I never wished for any such curtailment nor suggested it. On the contrary, the President will continue to exercise the same powers as before ~~or~~ but it is best that he consults me and the District Sanitary Officer before any trasnfer is effected in the interests of service.

3. I may note that mere notification of transfers of

27060

192

From

THE SANITARY COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE, BANGALORE

To

The Secretary
to Govt. of Mysore,
Revenue Department
Bangalore.

Dated 3 - 12 - 21

Despatched 3 12 21

Received

GEN & REV. SEC.
5 - DEC 1921

General No. C4400 - 3

No.

Enclosure No. one

SUBJECT.

Requesting that the Government may be pleased to rule, that transfers of Sanitary Inspectors in districts be made in consultation with the D.H.O. & the Sany. Commissioner

of Sanitary Inspectors serves no purpose whatever.' The Presidents of District Boards being non-technical men cannot scrutinise the work of Sanitary Inspectors and it is therefore all the more necessary that they should be guided by the opinions of the District Sanitary Officer and the Sanitary Commissioner who will have had numerous oppertunities of closely observing their work.

4. The exclusion of the District Sanitary Officer and the Sanitary Commissioner from matters pertaining to the control and transfers of Sanitary Inspectors constitutes a grave disability, from the point of view of real efficiency of sanitary work. I respectfully submit that the Sanitary Inspectors should not be transfered without previously consulting the District Sanitary Officer and the Sanitary Commissioner. This does not, as already explained above, restrict the freedom of the President of the District Board to pass such orders as he thinks suitable in each case, but it will secure that the sanitary work begun, or in progress in each local area, under the advise of the District Sanitary Officer or the Sanitary Commissioner is not needlessly disturbed.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

Sanitary Commissioner.

Submitted for orders with reference.

12.12.21 17/12 Rev. Secy.)

13/12/21.

The D.S. Officer is also subordinate to the Govt as the S. dispn. In many Dts the former is invariably consulted in transfering S. Inspts. I was also formerly Ex. officio D.C. The Govt may perhaps be advised to consider the suggestions of the S.C. in such matters. This will enhance the

Enclo

Letter No. C. 6 dated the 13th November 1921 from the District Medical and Sanitary Officer, Mysore District, to the Sanitary Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

---

I have the honor to request to be informed as to the relative official position of a Sanitary Inspector to the District Sanitary Officer.

Since I have been in this district, I find that the postings and transfers of Sanitary Inspectors from place to place in the District by the President District Board are not communicated to me for ~~any~~ information. The only attachment between the Sanitary Inspector and the District Sanitary Officer is the submission of the weekly diaries irregularly and belated as they are, through the Taluk Amildars. When I go into the Districts I give certain instructions to the Sanitary Inspector for carrying out and when I go there for the second time, I find, to my surprise that the old man is transferred and a new man put into his place and my instructions not carryed out and the new man quite ignorant of them.

Further I find that the Sanitary Inspectors are saddled with the District Fund works, such as, preparing estimates and seeing to the repairs of Travellers Bungalow, Musafarakhana, Chatrams, Chavadies and &c., for which most of their valuable time is spent and very little attention paid to bonafide Sanitary duties.

" C O P Y "

A.K. Rau
Sanitary Commissioner.

3213

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Forty File No. of 1921

Serial No.

Question of posts of Head Draughtsmen working & absence question amongst subordinate offices

17/12

2nd member)
3rd member)

3367.40
19.12.21

I agree with Mr Fenn-Grey. It is in the interests of P. W. D. work in which that Mr B. Bo. should be consulted by the President of the B. Bds. There is no question of prestige involved by any means & I presume that the Presidents will themselves be the advantage of consulting to the local & Provincial officers in matters of the subordinates of such technical departments. It can be made clear to the Presidents that the P. W. Department does not mean to take away from their powers or prestige but only to co-operate with & help them in such matters.

Rees
21.12.21

I feel that it is very undesirable to lay the services of the S. Inspectors under requisition for purposes other than those for which they are maintained.

Rees
21-12-21

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

3483
22.12.21

3rd member)

22/12

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Sanitary File No. of 1921

Serial No.

3483/21-12-21

8) I believe it was last year that the Sanitary Comm^r proposed that the Sanitary Inspectors should be placed under the Control of the District Health Officers. The matter was discussed in a meeting of the D.C. and the Sanitary Commissioner's proposal was not approved. The Sanitary Comm^r then proposed that the pumpers of Sanitary Inspectors should be opened after consultation with the District Means with his approval. This is unnecessary with the powers of the Presidents vested in them by law and I disapprove of the same. It should that whenever pumpers were opened they might be notified to the Sanitary Comm^r so that he might send that was necessary which was in which place. And the Sanitary Comm^r proposes that the pumpers should be opened in consultation with the District Sanitary Officers as well as himself. I do not think that the District Boards will approve of this proposal. If an order is issued by the Govt, it is sure to be challenged by them. Apart from the question of law involved as regards the powers of the Sanitary Comm^r over the District Boards, I do not think that

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

6

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Sanitary File No. of 1921.

Serial No.

the proposal is practicable - It will give rise to unnecessary correspondence between the Local Boards whose subordinates the Sanitary Inspectors are, the District Board, the Health Officer and the Sanitary Committee and while the correspondence is going on the work will suffer - But apart from this who is to decide when a difference of opinion crops up between the Presidents and the Sanitary Committee? The Govt cannot intervene because it has no power to do so under the Local Boards' Regulation - The Sanitary Inspectors are paid entirely from District or Local funds and the voice of the local body should prevail in matters relating to the appointment, dismissal and transfers of their employees. The proposal instead of promoting harmonious working will cause friction between the Presidents and the Sanitary Committee.

6) Under the present scheme, District Health Officers are not replaced by Chief Sanitary Inspectors or Asst Health Officers who are subordinates of their Boards. The Presidents may please move in arranging the Sanitary work of the District and they will

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Sanj File No. 4223 of 1921.

Serial No.

naturally have a voice in the transfer & the Taluq Sanitary Inspectors - So far the Presidents & directors that have joined & enrolled the Sanitary Corps & hopeless if they place them in a position & subordinate to Mahajans which they will naturally resent - they may be trusted to exercise their discretion properly in such a simple matter as this - they will not bring charges against an Inspector if he work & the Mahajan wants a transfer - and yet in any case an honest Mahajan makes a transfer appeal if an Inspector is not getting on well with his subordinates or higher officers & or if his honesty is suspected or if his services are required in their own Taluq - Surely the Sanitary Comm^r is not the proper person to judge & his experience & a transfer on such grounds M- is not a technical matter upon which his advice is necessary - I think his order already passed is good & sufficient for all practical purposes -

T

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on JAN 6 1922
Reg. No. 3665

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Dewan / I agree with views expressed by Hon'ble Member 17-1-22 4/1/22

G. R. S. III-2]

3223

(8

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Law File No. of 19 21.

Serial No.

8/ Draft accordingly.

DCS
20/1/22

9 Subd with a draft for approvals.

Put up clean copy as revised.
DCS
25/1

Subd
JKH
24/1/22
TRR
25/1
DCS

JKH
26/1/22
TRR
25/1

As there is a material difference of opinion between the 2nd and 3rd Members, the case might perhaps be considered at a meeting of Council as per Rule 14(a)(5) of the Council Rules of Business.

Rev. Secy.)

DCS
27/1/22

May this be done?

KH
27/1
DCS

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on JAN 28 1922
Reg. No. 3968

Dewan)

CH
Cant
30.1.22

Chief Secy)

CS
31/1/22

DCS
31/1/22

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

(For Disposal at a Meeting)

No. C. 361

1921-22.

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

**Council Matter.**

SUBJECT.

(Clause xxvii of the schedule.)

Position of Sanitary Inspectors in relation to the District Sanitary Officers.

CIRCULATED TO THE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL.

By Order,

*Chief Secretary to Government.*

7th February 1922.

---

**Extraordinary Member of Council.** Seen 12.2.22

**First Member of Council.**

4124-94 7.2.22

**Second Member of Council.** Seen. 8.2.22.

39994 10.2.22

**Third Member of Council.** 11/2/22

16

3223

Subject. -

Position of Sanitary Inspectors in relation to the District Sanitary Officer

...

OPINION OF THE COUNCIL.

Remarks may be stopped
hr
16/2/22.

Rev. Secy. with Compliments.

hr
17/2

Draft already put up
may be approved.

hr 7/2

√ (Rev. Secy.) 18/2/22

Reply is not necessary

hr 18/2

B

To be kept with connection

(11)

The Sanitary Commissioner,
Mysore, Bangalore.

S i r,

With reference to your letter No. C.400-2 dated 3rd December 1921 requesting a re-consideration of the orders passed in G.O. No. 2008-8/San. 21-21-2 dated the 29th Septr. 1921 so as to permit of the Sanitary Commissioner and the District Sanitary Officer being consulted before transfers of Sanitary Inspectors are effected by the Presidents of Dist. Boards, I am directed to state that, for the following amoung other reasons, Govt. think that no change is called for in the orders already passed by them:-

(i) The proposal put forward by you is not only against the law, but is besides impracticable* between the Taluk Boards, the Dist. Board, the Health Officer and the Sanitary Commissioner and it is likely that the work of the Sanitary Inspector might suffer in consequence.

* as it involves unnecessary correspondence

(ii) Difficulties might arise when there is a difference of opinion between the Presidents of Dist. Boards and the Sanitary Commissioner and the proposal put forward instead of promoting smooth working is likely to cause friction between the Presidents and the Sanitary Commissioner.

(iii)

(iii) To give the Presidents a direction that they should -- consult the Sanitary Commissioner before effecting the transfer of a Sanitary Inspector is to place them in a position of subordination to that officer which they are likely to resent. They may be trusted to exercise their discretion properly in effecting such transfers.

(iv) The transfer of a Sanitary Inspector is an administrative matter and is effected ~~duly~~ only if an Inspector is not getting on well with his subordinates or higher officers or if his honesty is ~~S~~suspected or if his services are required in any other Taluk and the Sanitary Commissioner is not the proper officer to judge of the expediency of a transfer on such grounds. It is not a technical matter on which his advice is necessary.

I have the honour etc.,

Revenue Secretary to Govt.

Copy to L.B. Branch.